

Message

---

**From:** MacIntyre, Mark [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=1EF0971B52B14F6A9DF509C4800A10E3-MACINTYRE, MARK]  
**Sent:** 11/27/2018 10:47:07 PM  
**To:** Holsman, Marianne [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=332ee5ed102f4f65841285a4c06ea8b2-Holsman, Marianne]  
**Subject:** FW: Oregon lawsuit

Hit the send button before I cc:ed you....sorry!

---

**From:** MacIntyre, Mark  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 27, 2018 2:46 PM  
**To:** Grantham, Nancy <Grantham.Nancy@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** FW: Oregon lawsuit

Nancy: Can we offer the customary "we're not commenting on pending litigation" on these? Not clear what else we would say.

---

**From:** Karina Brown <[portland@courthousenews.com](mailto:portland@courthousenews.com)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 27, 2018 2:35 PM  
**To:** MacIntyre, Mark <[Macintyre.Mark@epa.gov](mailto:Macintyre.Mark@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** Oregon lawsuit

Hello Mark,

Today's lawsuit filed by the Center for Biological Diversity claims the EPA failed to finalize Oregon's 2012 list of impaired waters, despite its legal obligation to do so. Is that accurate? If so, what is the reason for the delay?

The lawsuit also says the EPA's initial response to the 2012 list was to partially disapprove it, because it did not include information on Oregon coastal waters affected by ocean acidification. In its response, the EPA described lab and field studies showing corrosive conditions off the Oregon coast. Why hasn't the EPA acted on information it has had for at least six years to improve ocean acidification, or directed Oregon to do so?

Thank you,

Karina Brown